

article on redistricting stated in terms of the possibility of such a possible eventuality developing.

DELEGATE HANSON: Mr. President, Delegate Cardin has, I believe, satisfactorily answered the question. And that is that a person lives somewhere, and when the districts are drawn, he will then have lived in that district for at least a period of three months. I think that response takes care of my second question in part. I am not sure it does fully.

We are dealing with the problem of multiple districts in which a person will live simultaneously in a legislative district, a councilmanic district, and possibly a congressional district. By virtue of moving he will remain in two but not in a third. In such an eventuality what kind of administrative problems may we be creating on election day to determine whether a person is qualified to vote in all three elections?

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Koss.

DELEGATE KOSS: My only answer to that could be that he is therefore not qualified to vote in the one election.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Hanson.

DELEGATE HANSON: Would this require some additional constitutional language, or at least some implementing language? Will this be taken care of by legislation?

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Hanson, the Chair recalls a good bit of this matter in the Committee of the Whole, and the suggestion that by use of modern data processing and other electronic devices, there really is no problem in this area that could not be solved by appropriate legislative and administrative action.

Delegate Schloeder.

DELEGATE SCHLOEDER: I just want to underscore the Chair's remarks, and say that the intent of the Committee was exactly as Mrs. Cardin said in her response to Delegate Hanson's first question. The intent of the Committee was very clearly that the voter would have to live somewhere, and would then vote in the old district, and in answer to the second part of Delegate Hanson's question, it was made very clear to us by Board of Election Supervisors that there would not be any real administrative problems as far as this was concerned.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Cardin.

DELEGATE CARDIN: Mr. President, in view of the problems that have arisen, I would like to offer with the consent of the Chairman, and with the other sponsors, this one minor style change. I, myself, at present live in the second senatorial, third legislative, seventh legislative district. I live in three different districts. The county knows where I live, and where I am registered.

If we look at line 7 and we were to reword that, if any county is divided to form different electoral districts or portions thereof, perhaps that might ease some of the problems.

Delegate Koss, did you follow this suggestion?

DELEGATE KOSS: Delegate Cardin, I am advised to accept it.

THE PRESIDENT: The suggestion is that the amendment be further modified to strike from line 7 the word "portions" and from line 8 the words "of different", and after the words "or electoral districts" in line 8 to add the words "or portions thereof".

Is there any objection to the modification?

DELEGATE CARDIN: It is to delete "portions" on line 7 and "of" on line 8?

THE PRESIDENT: You are quite correct. I follow. The proposed amendment is to delete the word "portions" in line 7, and the word "of" in line 8, and to add, after the word "districts" in line 8, the words "or portions thereof".

Is there any objection to the modification as stated?

The Chair hears none; the modifications will be made.

Lines 7 and 8 now read: "If any county is divided to form different electoral districts or portions thereof for the".

Delegate Cardin, do you have a further comment?

DELEGATE CARDIN: I would just like to clarify that line 12 perhaps needs to be changed for similar construction to "of that electoral district or portions thereof", or shall we leave it "electoral district" with the understanding that it would encompass the previous change?

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair suggests to Delegate Penniman, if line 12 is not changed on the floor, the Committee on